| SR&ED cases regarding Financial issues |                            |   |                       | <u>View Videos</u> <u>Download PDF</u>   |  |                          |
|--|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------------|
| TOPICAL AREA                           | APPELLANT                  | PRIMARY ISSUE                                       | WIN - LOSE -<br>DRAW? | RULING & RATIONALE   | IMPLICATIONS: UNRESOLVED ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES                            | LONG-TERM<br>SIGNIFICANC |
| 1) a) WAGES                            | Alcatel                    | stock options - whether SR&ED<br>"cost" incurred    | Win - round 1         | SR&ED "cost" is dilution of shareholder interest   | Courts contemplate "costs" not in taxable income                             | High                     |
|  |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | legislation to disallow > Nov. 14, 2005  | 2 year window to amend 2004 - 2005 taxation years                            | High                     |
| b)                                     | CDD-REM                    | payments to "specified employees"                   | Win - round 1         | eligible based on "evidence"   | courts allow reasonable estimate of costs incurred                           | Moderate                 |
|  |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | Subsequent events: "non-arm's length" payments   | post 1996 - only "salary & wages" allowed "NAL parties"                      | Low                      |
| c)                                     | Synchrosat                 | allocating salary to only SR&ED activities          | Lose                  | only SR&ED percentage claimable  | need system to document employee experimenation time                         | Low                      |
| d)                                     | Ergorecherche              | time allocation - SR&ED vs. non-<br>SR&ED projects  | Lose                  | "reasonable" basis for allocation required   | could structure "non-SR&ED" done during unpaid time                          | Moderate                 |
| 2) MATERIALS                           | Consoltex                  | materials used in SR&ED then sold                   | Win - round 1         | eligible if required for SR&ED   | short-lived precedent to include "commercial materials"                      | Low                      |
|  |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | Subsequent legislation repayment of ITC's on sale  | Clarification: labour eligible - materials "sold" excluded                   | High                     |
| 3) a) CAPITAL                          | Dew<br>Engineering         | building vs. "other structure"                      | Win                   | temporary lab not a "building" - no fixed<br>foundation  | courts take literal interpretation of "building"                             | Moderate                 |
| b)                                     | Aurora Marine              | eligibility of Yacht expenses for<br>SR&ED          | Win                   | SR&ED eligible even if not otherwise tax deductible  | courts took liberal interpretation of "SR&ED costs incurred"                 | Low                      |
| c)                                     | Waxman                     | whether cattle eligible SR&ED                       | Win                   | eligible if ASA (>90%) SR&ED intent  | short-lived precedent to include "commercial materials"                      | Low                      |
|  |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | Subsequent events: repayment of ITC's on sale  | eligible if SR&ED intent - repayment if sold                                 | High                     |
| 4) a) ASSISTANCE/<br>GRANTS/           |                            | government fees - "assistance" or                   | Win                   | fixed price contract not purchase of SR&ED   | Structure SR&ED contracts-"taxpayer" to bear "risks"                         | High                     |
| b) SALE OF<br>PRODUCT                  | Les Cultures               | sale of experimental production                     | Win                   | subsequent sale irrelevant if SR&ED performed  | clarifies SR&ED labour eligible despite subequent sale                       | High                     |
| 5) UNPAID<br>AMOUNTS                   | Chartwell                  | eligibility of unpaid amounts / bad                 | Win / lose            | need to claim costs during the year incurred   | opportunity to claim unpaid wages (*unless forgiven)                         | High                     |
| 6) a) FOREIGN                          | Data Kinetics<br>Ltd.      | foreign "mainframe" costs Canadian<br>SR&ED?        | Win                   | attributable to SR&ED if researcher "in<br>Canada"   | definition of "in Canada" issue of contention .                              | Moderate                 |
| EXPENSES                               |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | Subsequent events: only payments to "taxable suppliers"  | subcontractor BN# now required to claim payment                              | High                     |
| b)                                     | LGL                        | data collection outside Canada<br>SR&ED?            | Lose                  | ineligible if physically outside Canada  | courts took literal interpretation of "in Canada"                            | Moderate                 |
|  |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | Subsequent events: eligible if within "EEZ"  | marine work eligible to 200 nauts - still "unclear" travel<br>abroad if >10% | Low                      |
| 7) "ASA"                               | Quantetics                 | "costs" or "revenues" basis for ASA                 | Lose                  | SR&ED costs basis for eligibility  | Preferential ITC's "sole purpose performers" gone 1992                       | Moderate                 |
| 8) a) FILING<br>EXTENSIONS             | Datacalc                   | extension of 18 month filing                        | Lose                  | qualified expenditures - identified by filing due  | object under proper sections of ITA - see Alex Parallel                      | Low                      |
| b)                                     | Alex Parallel<br>Computers | basis for extension of filing deadline              | Win                   | CRA cannot restrict Minister's power to extend deadlines   | extension for reasons other than CRA IC<br>(illness/disasters)               | High                     |
|  |                            |   | Draw - round 2        | Legislation - Nov. 17, 2005 restriction of   | must file within 18 months of year end - preferably 15                       | High                     |
| 9) a) QUALIFIED<br>CCPC STATUS         | Mimetex                    | if US director with 50% of shares                   | Lose                  | actions of US director w/o consent of Canadian   | consent from 1 of 2 Canadian directors solves problem                        | High                     |
| b)                                     | HSC Research               | Factors in evaluating defacto control               | Win                   | separate directors - no control evidenced  | Landmark case on definition of "defacto control"                             | High                     |
| c)                                     | Terra Remote               | Is shareholder with < 50% ownership arm's length?   | Win                   | Analysis of ITA 256 (control) & 251 (related persons)  | Confusing "specified employee" (>10%) with "arm's length"                    | High                     |
| <b>d</b> )                             | All Colour<br>Chemicals    | Can CCPC partners claim 35% refundable ITC's        | Lose                  | ITA 127(8) for partnership "over-rides"<br>127(10.1) refunds   | Qualified CCPC's should avoid using SR&ED partnerships                       | High                     |
| 10) ITC USE                            | Ainsworth<br>Lumber        | ordering of ITC use - refundable vs. non-refundable | Win                   | Act clarifies taxpayer "may" deduct [credits]<br>means taxpayer elects order of refundable vs.<br>non-refundable | right to order affairs to minimize taxes                                     | Moderate                 |